

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/765,229	01/18/2001	Koujirou Sekine	15162/03060	7378
24367 7590 06/20/2003 SIDLEY AUSTIN BROWN & WOOD LLP 717 NORTH HARWOOD			EXAMINER	
			STAHL, MICHAEL J	
SUITE 3400 DALLAS, TX	75201		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2874	
			DATE MAILED: 06/20/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	pplicant(s)			
٠		09/765,229	SEKINE ET AL.			
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Mike Stahl	2874			
	- The MAILING DATE of this communication a	opears on the cover sheet v	with the correspondence a	ddress		
Period for	r Reply					
THE N - Exten after 9 - If the - If NO - Failur	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state ply received by the Office later than three months after the main digital patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	1.136(a). In no event, however, may a eply within the statutory minimum of the od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC	a reply be timely filed nirty (30) days will be considered tim DNTHS from the mailing date of this ARANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ely. communication.		
Status		E March 2002				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on $\underline{2}$					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b)	This action is non-final.	natters prosecution as to	the merits is		
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allo closed in accordance with the practice und	er <i>Ex parte Quayl</i> e, 1935 (C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
	ion of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the applicat	ion.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without	Irawn from consideration.				
5)[Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	d/or election requirement.				
	tion Papers	inan				
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exam	imer.	phiected to by the Examine	r.		
10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on <u>18 January 2001</u> is/s	are: a)⊠ accepted of b) □ €	nevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
	Applicant may not request that any objection the proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)[annroved b) ا	disapproved by the Exar	niner.		
11)□	The proposed drawing correction filed on	n reply to this Office action.				
	If approved, corrected drawings are required i					
1	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	, <u></u>				
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	roign priority under 35 H S	.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	eigh phonty under 50 5.0	3 (=// (-// //			
a	a) ⊠ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	nents have been received				
	 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 					
	- cu use-decretor of the	priority documents have h	een received in this Natio	nal Stage		
	application from the Internations	alist of the certified copies	not received.			
14)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dor	nestic priority under 35 U.S	S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisi	onal application).		
	a) The translation of the foreign languag Acknowledgment is made of a claim for do	e provisional application h	as been received.			
Attachm						
1) 🛛 No	otice of References Cited (PTO-892) otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper N	.8) 5) Noti	rview Summary (PTO-413) Pape ice of Informal Patent Application er:	er No(s) n (PTO-152)		
-						

Art Unit: 2874

This office action is in response to the amendment filed 25 March 2003. The changes to the claims have been entered. Claims 1-20 are now pending. All claim objections and rejections made in the last office action are withdrawn in view of the amendment.

Drawings

The originally filed drawings are considered acceptable by the examiner.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 4 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventors, at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Claim 4 originally recited that the periodic structure is formed by elastic waves, while the periodic structure is varied by altering the frequency of the elastic waves. This operation was adequately supported by the written description pertaining to fig. 8 (p. 12 lines 2-16). However, applicant has amended claim 4 to recite that the refractive index of each recurrence of the second refractive index portion is varied by elastic waves. This is not supported by the original disclosure. It is the elastic waves which create the second refractive index portions in the first place. When the elastic waves are turned off, the second refractive index portions cease to exist.

Art Unit: 2874

There is no disclosure of changing the refractive index of the second portions themselves, once they are created, by varying the elastic wave frequency. The only variation in the periodic structure attainable by changing the elastic wave frequency is a change in the spacing of the second portions. New claim 19 is rejected under the same basis as claim 4, noting that although claim 19 omits "elastic" it is evident that elastic waves are the only waves supported in this context.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 2, 5-17, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Roberts (US 6175671, cited in the last office action).

Roberts discloses a device (figs. 6 and 7) comprising a periodic structure (generally region 21 of fig. 6, which includes subregions 38 and 39 in fig. 7) with a first refractive index portion (slab 2) and a plurality of second refractive index portions 22 disposed in a periodic pattern relative to the first index portion. Each recurrence of the second index portion has a variable refractive index (col. 5 line 57 – col. 6 line 16). The device includes a waveguide

Art Unit: 2874

portion 11 which directs light to the periodic structure. A controller for controlling the refractive index of the second index portions 22 is not illustrated in figs. 6 or 7 but is inherently present. Thus the device just described satisfies the requirements of independent claims 1, 6, 9, and 16. As to independent claim 11, it is considered inherent that a variable light wavelength source is coupled to the periodic structure of fig. 6, since the fig. 6 device acts as a wavelength filter (see e.g. col. 7 lines 1-4 and the description associated with fig. 4). Claim 12 is satisfied by virtue of waveguide portion 11 mentioned above.

As to claims 2, 8, 10, 15 and 17, the material of lattice points 22 (e.g. ferrite) exhibits an electrooptic effect which may be controlled by an externally applied voltage (col. 5 line 67 – col. 6 line 31). A voltage applying portion is not shown in figs. 6 or 7 but inherently exists.

As to claims 5 and 20, the periodic structure is two-dimensional.

As to claims 7, 13, and 14, the device further includes a second waveguide portion 12 and a third waveguide portion 13 for guiding light exiting from the periodic structure portion 21.

Claims 1-3 and 16-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Gerritsen (US 4850682).

Gerritsen discloses a device (figs. 1A and 1B) comprising a periodic structure having a first refractive index portion 11 and a plurality of second index portions (i.e. the inverse regions of grating 12 which are filled in with liquid crystal material 19) which recur in a periodic pattern relative to the first index portion, wherein each recurrence of the second index portions has a variable refractive index (col. 3 line – col. 5 line 7). The index of the second index portions is

Art Unit: 2874

controlled by varying the voltage from a source 20. The Gerritsen device thus satisfies the limitations of claims 1-3 and 16-18.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's comments regarding the rejections made in the last office action are persuasive in view of the amendments to various claims. In particular, applicant emphasized that the periodic device has a first index portion and a plurality of periodically arranged second index portions, wherein the second portions have a variable index. This clearly distinguishes over the previously applied Koops reference which discloses changing the index of the background material, not the lattice points. Hatori provides a first index portion, and second index portions are induced by acoustic waves, but the index of the second portions is not separately adjustable. Utaka discloses only adjusting the index of a waveguide layer which is physically distinct from the layer in which the grating is defined.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new grounds of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

Art Unit: 2874

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this

final action.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure. US 5299037 discloses a liquid crystal-based variable diffraction grating.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Mike Stahl at (703)

305-1520. Official communications eligible for submission by facsimile may be faxed to (703)

308-7724 or (703) 308-7722. Inquiries of a general or clerical nature (e.g., a request for a

missing form or paper, etc.) should be directed to the Technology Center 2800 receptionist at

(703) 308-0956 or to the technical support staff supervisor at (703) 308-3072.

RCM

Michael J. Stahl Patent Examiner

Art Unit 2874

16 June 2003

Rodney Bovernick Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 2800

Page 6